arXiv:1210.0597v1 [hep-th] 1 Oct 2012

No-go theorem for a super-exponential braneworld hierarchy solution and gravity localisation

Mariana Carrillo-González[a], Gabriel Germán[b,*], Alfredo Herrera–Aguilar[b,c],

[a] *Facultad de Ciencias,*
Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos,
Av. Universidad 1001, Col. Chamilpa, Cuernavaca, Morelos, 62209, México.

[b] *Instituto de Ciencias Físicas,*
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Apdo. Postal 48-3, 62251 Cuernavaca, Morelos, México.

[c] *Instituto de Física y Matemáticas,*
Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo,
Edificio C–3, Ciudad Universitaria, C.P. 58040, Morelia, Michoacán, México.

Abstract

We show that proposed "super-exponential" warp factors of the form $e^{-2f} \sim e^{-2c_1 e^{c_2|\sigma|}}$, are not acceptable for a further reduction of the hierarchy problem because they lead to inconsistencies in the tachyonic braneworld models analysed. In particular, both the finiteness of the effective 4d Planck mass and the gravity localisation conditions, which can be stated by the requirement that $\int e^{-2f(\sigma)}d\sigma < \infty$, necessarily imply that both c_1 and c_2 should be positive. As a consequence the tachyonic field T turns out to be complex in contradiction with the real nature of the starting action for the tachyonic braneworld. We have analysed this situation for thin as well as thick tachyonic braneworlds with four–dimensional Poincaré symmetry, for the case when a bulk cosmological constant is present, and even for a brane with an induced spatially flat four–dimensional cosmological background, and shown that in all cases the tachyon field T comes out to be inconsistently complex.

---

[*] Corresponding author: gabriel@fis.unam.mx

1 Introduction

There has recently been some interest in braneworld models, in which our universe is embedded in a spacetime with extra dimensions, as a way to solving the mass hierarchy and four–dimensional gravity localisation problems [1, 2]. It has also become a matter of interest to find smooth braneworld solutions (for interesting reviews on these issues see e.g., [3]–[8]). Typically such solutions are obtained by introducing one or several scalar fields in the bulk and the large variety of scalar fields that can be used to generate these models gives rise to different scenarios [9]–[17]. Several authors have chosen a tachyonic scalar field in the bulk and address issues like the hierarchy problem, and localization of gravity and matter fields [16]–[22]. However some attempts to solve the highly non–linear field equations give rise to imaginary tachyon field configurations [17], [20].

Here we will show that attempting a further reduction of the mass hierarchy by proposing "super-exponential" warp functions of the form $e^{-2f} \sim e^{-2c_1 e^{c_2|\sigma|}}$, leads to inconsistencies in the model: on the one hand, the finite character of the effective four–dimensional Planck mass and, on the other, the gravity localisation condition, which can be stated by the requirement that $\int e^{-2f(\sigma)} d\sigma < \infty$, imply that both c_1 and c_2 should be positive. As a consequence the tachyonic field T turns out to be complex in contradiction with the real nature of the starting action of the braneworld model. We have analysed this situation for thin as well as thick branes with a tachyonic scalar field T in the bulk [17]–[20], with a bulk cosmological constant, and even for a four–dimensional spatially flat cosmological metric induced on the brane and shown that in all cases the tachyon field is inconsistently complex.

2 The simplest model

We start our discussion of the problem with the simplest action we will consider and later we extend it in several ways. The action is then given as follows

$$S = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2\kappa_5} R + V(T) \sqrt{1 + g^{MN} \partial_M T \partial_N T} \right), \tag{1}$$

where the first term describes 5D gravity and the second corresponds to the matter in the bulk, in this case a **real** scalar tachyonic field. The coefficient κ_5 is given by $\kappa_5 = 8\pi G_5$ where G_5 is the five–dimensional gravitational coupling constant. The indices take the values $M, N = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5$. The tachyon field T depends, for simplicity, only on the extra dimension which we denote by σ while $V(T)$ is its self-interacting potential [23].

The five–dimensional Einstein equations are given by

$$G_{MN} = \kappa_5 \, T^{bulk}_{MN}. \tag{2}$$

The energy–momentum tensor components do not depend explicitly on time and read

$$T^{bulk}_{AB} = g_{AB} \, V(T) \sqrt{1 + (\nabla T)^2} - \frac{V(T)}{\sqrt{1 + (\nabla T)^2}} \, \partial_A T \, \partial_B T, \tag{3}$$

where $(\nabla T)^2$ is shorthand notation for $g^{MN} \partial_M T \partial_N T$. The background metric is given by

$$ds^2 = e^{-2f(\sigma)} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + d\sigma^2 \,, \tag{4}$$

corresponding to a warped 5D line element with an induced 3–brane and spatially flat background. The function $f(\sigma)$ is the warp factor and we are using the $(-,+,+,+,+)$ signature.

The equation for tha matter field is obtained as usual by variation of the action with respect to the tachyon and is expressed as follows

$$T^{''} - 4f^{'}T^{'}(1+T^{'2}) = (1+T^{'2})\frac{\partial_T V(T)}{V(T)}, \tag{5}$$

where a prime denotes derivative with respect to the extra dimension σ. Using Eq. (4) we obtain the Einstein tensor components

$$\begin{aligned} G_{00} &= 3\,e^{-2f}\left(f^{''} - 2f^{'2}\right), \\ G_{ii} &= -3\,e^{-2f}\left(f^{''} - 2f^{'2}\right) = -G_{00}\,, \\ G_{\sigma\sigma} &= 6f^{'2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where i labels the spatial dimensions x^i.

The Einstein equations (2) can be written as

$$f^{''} = -\kappa_5\frac{V(T)T^{'2}}{3\sqrt{1+T^{'2}}}\,, \tag{7}$$

$$f^{'2} = \kappa_5\frac{V(T)}{6\sqrt{1+T^{'2}}}. \tag{8}$$

In this simple case, we end up with a single brane configuration in a four–dimensional spatially flat background given by Eqs. (5), (7) and (8). It is easy to invert these equations in terms of T'^2 and $V(T)$

$$T'^2 = \frac{-f''}{2f'^2}, \tag{9}$$

$$V(T) = \frac{6f'^2}{\kappa_5}\sqrt{1-\frac{f''}{2f'^2}}. \tag{10}$$

For a real potential energy we obtain

$$f'' < 2f'^2, \tag{11}$$

however a stronger condition arises from the requirement of having a real tachyonic field

$$f'' < 0. \tag{12}$$

For a super-exponential warp factor of the form $e^{-2f} \sim e^{-2c_1 e^{c_2|\sigma|}}$ where the warp function is given by

$$f \sim c_1 e^{c_2|\sigma|}, \tag{13}$$

both the finiteness of the effective four–dimensional Planck mass and gravity localisation conditions,

$$\int e^{-2f(\sigma)}d\sigma < \infty, \tag{14}$$

require c_1, $c_2 > 0$. Thus f should be a positive definite function such that

$$f'^2 \sim c_2^2 f^2 \,, \qquad f'' \sim c_2 f(c_2 + 2\delta(\sigma)) > 0 \,, \tag{15}$$

we see that f'' turns out to be positive, contrary to Eq. (12) which is the condition for having a real tachyonic field. Thus a super-exponential warp factor is not allowed in the model described by Eq. (1) unless we have a complex tachyonic scalar field.

3 Extending the simplest model

We generalise and modify our starting action in several ways, initially by introducing a 5D cosmological constant and then by introducing also a thin brane, and finally by including a spatially flat cosmological background induced on the brane. The action with a 5D cosmological constant is given as follows

$$S = \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2\kappa_5} R - \Lambda_5 + V(T) \sqrt{1 + g^{AB} \partial_A T \partial_B T} \right) , \tag{16}$$

Einstein's Eq. (2) are now given by

$$\begin{aligned} f^{''} &= -\kappa_5^2 \frac{V(T) T^{'2}}{3\sqrt{1 + T'^2}} \,, & (17) \\ f^{'2} &= \kappa_5^2 \frac{V(T)}{6\sqrt{1 + T'^2}} - \frac{\Lambda_5}{6} \,, & (18) \end{aligned}$$

in this case, using the → symbol to denote large σ and thus large f behaviour

$$T'^2 = \frac{-f''}{2\left(f'^2 + \frac{\Lambda_5}{6}\right)} \rightarrow \frac{-f''}{2f'^2} \rightarrow 0^- . \tag{19}$$

For an exponential function of the form given by Eq. (13) the f'^2 term dominates and again T is complex.

We use now for the background metric the ansatz of a warped 5D line element with an induced 3–brane with spatially flat cosmological background that reads

$$ds^2 = e^{-2f(\sigma)} \left[-dt^2 + a^2(t) \eta_{ij} dx^i dx^j \right] + d\sigma^2, \tag{20}$$

while the Einstein equations Eq. (2) can be rewritten in a simple way

$$\begin{aligned} f^{''} &= -\kappa_5^2 \frac{V(T) T^{'2}}{3\sqrt{1 + T'^2}} + e^{2f} \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}, & (21) \\ f^{'2} &= \kappa_5^2 \frac{V(T)}{6\sqrt{1 + T'^2}} - \frac{\Lambda_5}{6} + \frac{e^{2f}}{2} \left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} \right) . & (22) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we end up with the case of a single brane configuration in a four–dimensional spatially flat cosmological background given by Eqs. (5), (21) and (22). Thus, we must have a de Sitter four–dimensional cosmological background defined by

$$a(t) = e^{b\,t}, \tag{23}$$

where an overall constant has been absorbed into a coordinate redefinition. This fact makes clear the role of the action of the tachyonic scalar field as a non–trivial 5D configuration which leads to a set up in which the dS_4 geometry is embedded into AdS_5 if $\Lambda_5 < 0$ [7]. It is easy to invert these equations in terms of T'^2 and $V(T)$, the result for T'^2 is

$$T'^2 = \frac{-f'' + b^2\, e^{2f}}{2\left(f'^2 + \frac{\Lambda_5}{6} - b^2\, e^{2f}\right)} \to \frac{b^2\, e^{2f}}{-2b^2\, e^{2f}} = -\frac{1}{2}, \tag{24}$$

where we have taken into account relations (15). Again, T is a complex field, this is easier to see for large σ as indicated by the $\to$ symbol above.

Finally, we shall also work in conformal metric coordinates[1]

$$ds^2 = e^{-2f(w)}\left[-dt^2 + a^2(t)\eta_{ij}dx^i dx^j + dw^2\right], \tag{25}$$

following similar steps with the aid of (15), as before we get

$$T'^2 = \frac{-f'' - f'^2 + b^2 + \frac{\kappa_5}{3}\sum_i \tau_i \delta(w - w_i) e^{-2f}}{2\left(f'^2 + \frac{\Lambda_5}{6}e^{-2f} - b^2\right)e^{2f}} \to \frac{-f'^2}{2f'^2 e^{2f}} = \frac{-1}{2e^{2f}} \to 0^-, \tag{26}$$

where now "$'$" stands for derivatives with respect to w. We have explicitly written the brane term, which is suppressed for large w. In the large w (large $-f$) regime one can easily see the complex nature of the resultant field.

4 Discussion and conclusions

There has recently been some interest in using "super-exponential"warp factors to address the hierarchy problem in braneworld type models. As originally shown by Gogberashvili and by Randall and Sundrum the hierarchy problem of masses in the standard model of particles can be considerably ameliorated by introducing a function of the form $e^{-2f(\sigma)}$ corresponding to a warped 5D line element with an induced 3–brane in such a way that higher scales are exponentially suppressed. Thus scales of the order of the Planck mass end up with values a few tens the electroweak scale. This is achieved by using warp functions of the type $f \sim k|\sigma|$. A further reduction of the hierarchy is attempted by proposing super-exponential warp functions of the form $f \sim c_1 e^{c_2|\sigma|}$ [17], however both the finiteness of the four–dimensional effective gravitational coupling constant and the gravity localisation conditions, which can be stated by the requirement that $\int e^{-2f(\sigma)}d\sigma < \infty$, imply that both c_1 and c_2 should be positive. As a consequence the tachyonic field T turns out to be complex in contradiction with the real character of the starting tachyonic action that was used for solving the problem within this braneworld model. We have analysed this situation for thin as well as tick braneworlds generated by gravity and a tachyonic field T, with an additional bulk cosmological constant, and even for a spatially flat four–dimensional cosmological metric induced on the brane and shown that in all cases the tachyon field is inconsistently complex.

---

[1] This is done with the aid of the transformation $d\sigma = e^{-f(w)}dw$. Even when this could seem to be a simple coordinate play, the field equations that T must obey are different for a given super-exponential function f.

5 Acknowledgements

The research of AHA was supported by CONACYT 60060-J grant, he is also grateful to the staff of ICF, UNAM for hospitality.

References

[1] M. Gogberashvili, *Four dimensionality in noncompact Kaluza-Klein model*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**14** (1999) 2025-2032, hep-ph/9904383; *Hierarchy problem in the shell universe model*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D**11** (2002) 1635-1638, hep-ph/9812296.

[2] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370, hep-ph/9905221; *An alternative to compactification*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4690, hep-th/9906064.

[3] V. Dzhunushaliev, V. Folomeev and M. Minamitsuji, *Thick brane solutions*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **73** (2010) 066901, arXiv:0904.1775 [gr-qc].

[4] S. SenGupta, *Aspects of warped braneworld models*, arXiv:0812.1092 [hep-th].

[5] T.G. Rizzo, *Introduction to extra dimensions*, AIP Conf. Proc. **1256** (2010) 27, arXiv:1003.1698 [hep-ph].

[6] M. Shifman, *Large extra dimensions: Becoming acquainted with an alternative paradigm*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**25** (2010) 199, arXiv:0907.3074 [hep-ph].

[7] P.D. Mannheim, *Brane–Localized Gravity*, World Scientific, Singapore, (2005).

[8] R. Maartens and K. Koyama, *Brane–World Gravity*, Living Rev. Rel. **13** (2010) 5, arXiv:1004.3962 [hep-th].

[9] O. DeWolfe, D.Z. Freedman, S.S. Gubser and A. Karch, *Modeling the fifth dimension with scalars and gravity*, Phys. Rev. D**62** (2000) 046008, hep-th/9909134.

[10] M. Gremm, *Four–dimensional gravity on a thick domain wall*, Phys. Lett. B **478** (2000) 434, hep-th/9912060; Thick domain walls and singular spaces, Phys. Rev. D**62** (2000) 044017, hep-th/0002040.

[11] C. Csaki, J. Erlich, T. Hollowood and Y. Shirman, *Universal Aspects of gravity localized on thick branes*, Nucl. Phys. B**581** (2000) 309, hep-th/0001033.

[12] M. Giovaninni, *Gauge–invariant fluctuations of scalar branes*, Phys. Rev. D**64** (2001) 064023, hep-th/0106041; *Localization of metric fluctuations on scalar branes*, Phys. Rev. D**65** (2002) 064008, hep-th/0106131; K. Farakos and P. Pasipoularides, *Gauss–Bonnet gravity, brane world models, and non–minimal coupling*, Phys. Rev. D**75** (2007) 024018, hep-th/0610010; K. Farakos, G. Koutsoumbas and P. Pasipoularides, *Graviton localization and Newton's law for brane models with a non-minimally coupled bulk scalar field*, Phys. Rev. D**76** (2007) 064025, arXiv:0705.2364 [hep-th]; A. Herrera–Aguilar, D.

Malagón–Morejón, R.R. Mora–Luna and I. Quiros, *Thick braneworlds generated by a non-minimally coupled scalar field and a Gauss-Bonnet term: conditions for localization of gravity*, Class. Quantum Grav. **29** (2012) 035012, arXiv:1105.5479 [hep-th]; H. Guo, Y.–X. Liu, Z.–H. Zhao and F.–W. Chen, *Thick branes with a non–minimally coupled bulk–scalar field*, Phys. Rev. D**85** (2012) 124033, arXiv:1106.5216 [hep-th].

[13] S. Kobayashi, K. Koyama and J. Soda, *Thick brane worlds and their stability*, Phys. Rev. D**65** (2002) 064014, hep-th/0107025; V.I. Afonso, D. Bazeia, R. Menezes and A.Yu. Petrov, *f(R)-Brane*, Phys. Lett. B**658** (2007) 71, arXiv:0710.3790 [hep-th]; Y. Zhong, Y.–X. Liu and K. Yang, *Tensor perturbations of f(R)–branes*, Phys. Lett. B**699** (2011) 398, arXiv:1010.3478 [hep-th]; M. Gogberashvili and D. Singleton, *Anti-de-Sitter Island-Universes from 5D Standing Waves*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**25** (2010) 2131, arXiv:0904.2828 [hep-th]; M. Gogberashvili, A. Herrera–Aguilar and D. Malagón–Morejón, *An Anisotropic Standing Wave Braneworld and Associated Sturm-Liouville Problem*, Class. Quantum Grav. **29** (2012) 025007, arXiv:1012.4534 [hep-th].

[14] O. Arias, R. Cardenas and I. Quiros, *Thick Brane Worlds Arising From Pure Geometry*, Nucl. Phys. B**643** (2002) 187, hep-th/0202130; N. Barbosa-Cendejas and A. Herrera–Aguilar, *4D gravity localized in non Z_2–symmetric thick branes*, JHEP **0510** (2005) 101; hep-th/0511050; N. Barbosa–Cendejas and A. Herrera–Aguilar, *Localization of 4D gravity on pure geometrical thick branes*, Phys. Rev. D**73** (2006) 084022; *Erratum–ibid.* D **77** (2008) 049901, hep-th/0603184.

[15] J.M. Hoff da Silva and R. da Rocha, *Braneworld remarks in Riemann–Cartan manifolds*, Class. Quantum Grav. **26** (2009) 055007, *Erratum–ibid.* **26** (2009) 179801, arXiv:0804.4261 [gr-qc]; *ibid. Torsion Effects in Braneworld Scenarios*, Phys. Rev. D**81** (2010) 024021, arXiv:0912.5186 [hep-th]; *ibid. Gravitational constraints of dS branes in AdS Einstein–Brans–Dicke bulk*, Class. Quantum Grav. **27** (2010) 225008, arXiv:1006.5176 [gr-qc]; *ibid. Effective Monopoles within Thick Branes*, to appear in Europhys. Lett., arXiv:1209.0989 [hep-th].

[16] D. Bazeia, F.A. Brito and J.R. Nascimento, *Supergravity brane worlds and tachyon potentials*, Phys. Rev. D**68** (2003) 085007, hep-th/0306284.

[17] R. Koley and S. Kar, *A Novel braneworld model with a bulk scalar field*, Phys. Lett. B**623** (2005) 244, *Erratum–ibid.* B**631** (2005) 199, hep-th/0507277.

[18] D. Maity, S. SenGupta, and S. Sur, *Stability analysis of the Randall-Sundrum braneworld in presence of bulk scalar*, Phys. Lett. B**643** (2006) 348, hep-th/0604195.

[19] S. Pal and S. Kar, *de Sitter branes with a bulk scalar*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **41** (2009) 1165, hep-th/0701266.

[20] A. Das, S. Kar and S. SenGupta, *Stable two–brane models with bulk tachyon matter*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**24** (2009) 4457, arXiv:0804.1757 [hep-th].

[21] R. Koley, *Localization of fields on brane*, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on "Physics of warped extra dimensions"* (2008) 19, arXiv:0812.1423 [hep-th]; R. Koley, J. Mitra

and S. SenGupta, *Fermion localization in generalised Randall Sundrum model*, Phys. Rev. D*79* (2009) 041902, arXiv:0806.0455 [hep-th].

[22] X.–H. Zhang, Y.–X. Liu, and Y.–S. Duan, *Localization of Fermionic Fields on Braneworlds with Bulk Tachyon Matter*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**23** (2008) 2093, arXiv:0709.1888 [hep-th].

[23] A. Sen, *Rolling tachyon*, JHEP **0204** (2002) 048, hep-th/0203211; *Tachyon matter*, *ibid.* JHEP **0207** (2002) 065, hep-th/0203265; *Field theory of tachyon matter*, *ibid.* Mod. Phys. Letts. A**17** (2002) 1797, hep-th/0204143; *Time and tachyon*, *ibid.* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**18** (2003) 4869, hep-th/0209122.